# Staff Report



To City Council

Service Area Infrastructure, Development and Enterprise Services

Date Monday, July 12, 2021

Subject Sign By-law Variances for 9 Woodlawn Road East

#### Recommendation

- 1. That the request for variance from Table 1, Row 1 of Sign By-law Number (1996)-15245, as amended, to permit an illuminated building sign with an area of  $4.01\text{m}^2$  on the second storey of the building at 9 Woodlawn Road East, be refused. (Sign 1)
- 2. That the request for variance from Table 1, Row 1 of Sign By-law Number (1996)-15245, as amended, to permit an illuminated building sign with an area of 3.78m<sup>2</sup> on the third storey of the building at 9 Woodlawn Road East, be refused. (Sign 2)
- 3. That the request for variance from Table 1, Row 1 of Sign By-law Number (1996)-15245, as amended, to permit an illuminated building sign with an area of 3.25m<sup>2</sup> on the fourth storey of the building at 9 Woodlawn Road East, be refused. (Sign 3)
- 4. That the request for variance from Section 6(3)(b) of Sign By-law Number (1996)-15245, as amended, to permit an illuminated freestanding sign with an area of 6.45m<sup>2</sup> be located 9.94m from a residentially zoned property at 9 Woodlawn Road East, be approved. (Sign 4)

## **Executive Summary**

#### **Purpose of Report**

This report is to advise of sign by-law variances for 9 Woodlawn Road East.

## **Key Findings**

This property is located in a Specialized Service Commercial Zone (SC.1-36). Table 2, Row 1 of Sign By-law Number (1996)-15245, as amended, restricts building signs to the first storey of a building in a Service Commercial Zone. Additionally, Section 6(3)(b) requires illuminated freestanding signs to be a minimum of 15m from a residentially zoned property.

Pride Signs has submitted a sign by-law variance application on behalf of 2824937 Ontario Inc. to permit:

• an illuminated building sign with an area of 4.01m<sup>2</sup> on the second storey of the building (Sign 1);

- an illuminated building sign with an area of 3.78m<sup>2</sup> on the third storey of the building (Sign 2);
- an illuminated building sign with an area of 3.25m<sup>2</sup> on the fourth storey of the building (Sign 3); and
- an illuminated freestanding sign with an area of 6.45m<sup>2</sup> be located 9.94m from a residentially zoned property (Sign 4).

The request for variances for Sign 1, Sign 2, and Sign 3, is recommended for refusal for the following reasons:

- Illuminated lighting on each storey (as identified) is not compatible in scale and intensity in relation to the size, type, and character of the development;
- Tenants of the building can be identified by a freestanding sign rather than through general names on each storey of the building;
- The proposed building signs do not meet the intent of the Official Plan or Commercial Built Form Standards;
- Staff worked with the applicant in an attempt to arrive at a compromise of signage on the fourth storey only that could have been supported; and
- The proposed building signs will have a negative impact on the streetscape.

The request for variances for Sign 4 is recommended for approval for the following reasons:

- The proposed freestanding sign is compatible in scale and intensity of size, type, character of the development;
- The proposed freestanding sign complies with all other Sign By-law regulations, including the required setback and maximum height;
- The proposed freestanding sign meets the intent of the Official Plan and Commercial Built Form Standards;
- The neighbouring residential building is approximately 70m from the proposed sign location and should not be negatively impacted by the sign; and
- The proposed sign will not have a negative impact on the streetscape or surrounding area.

#### **Financial Implications**

Not applicable.

## Report

This property is located in a Specialized Service Commercial Zone (SC.1-36). Table 2, Row 1 of Sign By-law Number (1996)-15245, as amended, restricts building signs to the first storey of a building in a Service Commercial Zone. Additionally, Section 6(3)(b) requires illuminated freestanding signs to be a minimum of 15m from a residentially zoned property.

Pride Signs has submitted a sign by-law variance application on behalf of 2824937 Ontario Inc. to permit:

- an illuminated building sign with an area of 4.01m<sup>2</sup> on the second storey of the building (Sign 1);
- an illuminated building sign with an area of 3.78m<sup>2</sup> on the third storey of the building (Sign 2);

- an illuminated building sign with an area of 3.25m<sup>2</sup> on the fourth storey of the building (Sign 3); and
- an illuminated freestanding sign with an area of 6.45m<sup>2</sup> be located 9.94m from a residentially zoned property (Sign 4) at 9 Woodlawn Road East.

See Attachment 1 – Location Map for the location of the property and surrounding area.

Table 1 – Requested Variances

	By-law Requirements	Request
Location of building signs		Sign 1 – second storey
	1 <sup>st</sup> Storey	Sign 2- third storey
		Sign 3 – fourth storey
Location of freestanding	15m from a residentially	9.94m from a
sign	zoned property	residentially zoned
		property

Please see Attachment 2 – Sign Variance Drawings.

The following is a summary in support of the recommended variances by the **Applicant**:

- New development signage plays a key role in helping to inform both the pedestrian and vehicular traffic of the location and the tenants located in the building;
- The proposed freestanding sign will not be an obstruction to the residential property due to the double wide driveway and setback of the building;
- The proposed signs will be LED lights on timers to eliminate light pollution at night;
- The proposed signs will not alter the existing character of the site or surrounding area;
- The proposed signage will not pose a visual hindrance or distraction; and
- The proposed signs will be designed by an engineer and will not create a public safety concern.

The request for variances for Sign 1, Sign 2, and Sign 3, is recommended for refusal for the following reasons:

- Illuminated lighting on each storey (as identified) is not compatible in scale and intensity in relation to the size, type, and character of the development;
- Tenants of the building can be identified by a freestanding sign rather than through general names on each storey of the building;
- The proposed building signs do not meet the intent of the Official Plan or Commercial Built Form Standards;
- Staff worked with the applicant in an attempt to arrive at a compromise of signage on the fourth storey only that could have been supported; and
- The proposed building signs will have a negative impact on the streetscape.

The request for variances for Sign 4 is recommended for approval for the following reasons:

- The proposed freestanding sign is compatible in scale and intensity of size, type, character of the development;
- The proposed freestanding sign complies with all other Sign By-law regulations, including the required setback and maximum height;

- The proposed freestanding sign meets the intent of the Official Plan and Commercial Built Form Standards;
- The neighbouring residential building is approximately 70m from the proposed sign location and should not be negatively impacted by the sign; and
- The proposed sign will not have a negative impact on the streetscape or surrounding area.

### **Financial Implications**

Not applicable.

#### **Consultations**

Internal consultation with Planning Services (Urban Design).

External communication with the Applicant. A public notice will also circulated to inform the public.

#### **Strategic Plan Alignment**

Powering our Future – to help businesses succeed and add value to our community.

#### **Attachments**

Attachment-1 Location Map

Attachment-2 Sign Variance Drawings

#### **Departmental Approval**

Patrick Sheehy, Program Manager – Zoning

Jeremy Laur, Chief Building Official

## **Report Author**

Bill Bond, Senior By-law Administrator

#### This report was approved by:

Krista Walkey, MCIP, RPP General Manager, Planning and Building Services Infrastructure, Development and Enterprise Services 519-822-1260 extension 2395 krista.walkey@guelph.ca

#### This report was recommended by:

Jayne Holmes, P.Eng., PMP
Deputy Chief Administrative Officer
Infrastructure, Development and Enterprise Services
519-822-1260 extension 2248
Jayne.holmes@guelph.ca