

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Committee of Adjustment](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [Rodrigo Goller](#); [Carly Klassen](#)  
**Subject:** COFA Application A-32/23 60 Ontario St Guelph  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 31, 2023 11:47:08 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Do not click links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

These are our comments to the above application.

Judith and David Nasby

[REDACTED] Suffolk St W

Guelph On

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] home [REDACTED] cell

### **COFA Application A-32/23 60 Ontario Street**

We are the owners of a property at [REDACTED] Ontario Street, directly opposite the applicants address of 60 Ontario Street. Our property is occupied by a single tenant. The applicant's business is surrounded by residential properties. This applicant has received beneficial benefits in the past, with a number of conditions, principally that there would be no parking in the foretriangle of this business. This condition has been violated from day one. We have no faith that the owner/operator will abide by any conditions that may be connected to the present application.

We are strongly opposed to any or all of the requested variances by the applicants.

We were assured by the owner/operator at the time of the initial variance application that they sought to establish a small, quiet and intimate bar to enjoyed by friends and the surrounding neighborhood. As such we supported the applicant with a number of conditions, principally no parking. This has not been the case – loud noises spill from the bar and intoxicated gathering in front of the bar have repeatedly occurred. Our tenant and neighboring families with children have the right to "quiet enjoyment" in their domiciles. Our tenant has informed us that he will be compelled to move from the neighborhood if this application is granted, negatively affecting income from this property.

There are no assurances that this patio will not be the site for loud live or recorded music.

The applicants' requests for variances contravenes all parts of the existing By-laws in a major way.

There is no evidence of the calculation for patio capacity. The request for 20 bears no relationship to the licensed capacity of the bar, applying the 50% formula the number is probably near 5 or 6.

The public safety implications are serious at this complicated intersection. Any structures the impede site lines that are proposed should not be permitted.

It is our belief that the granting of the variances will negatively affect property values in the adjoining residences.

This is a neighborhood of residential dwellings with many families of small children. This application is inappropriate for this neighborhood and therefore oppose the granting of any or all of the variances.

We wish to be informed of any decisions made by COFA regarding the application.

Sincerely

Judith and David Nasby