

I support the position of City staff that this plan should be rejected.

A plan brought before council should be in compliance with the Official Plan. The Developer's plan for this site falls short in several ways.

- The areas set aside for parks falls short of plan requirements.
- The proposed amount of employment lands has been severely reduced below what is required in the in the Official Plan, which has already been severely reduced in earlier rounds of planning.
- The amount of parkland and employment lands have been further reduced by clawing back areas by placing a school in employment lands and including the Frost building in a proposed park.

Further, the inclusion of the Frost building in parkland represents a downloading of responsibility for a heritage resource from the landowner to the city, and by extension to the taxpayers.

This plan should be rejected and replaced with one in full compliance with the Official Plan.